

### REMARKS

1-2. Claims 3, 7, 8, 9 and 18 are not believed to be obvious in light of DeWitt '359 and Parent '478. Claims 3 and 7 now recite " an L-shaped bracket" which is not taught or suggested by the prior art.

Claims 8 and 9 now depend on Claim 7 (currently amended).

Claim 18 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of Claim 19, which the Examiner had objected to. Claim 20 has been amended to change the dependency to Claim 18 (currently amended).

3-5. It is important to note that Parent '478 is directed toward mounting the device to the sides of a structure such as a crib whereas DeWitt '359 is directed towards a mounting only on the top of a structure. The issue is not simply one of accommodating varying widths. It is clear that Parent would not even be operative for its intended purposes, if the curved bracket members having semi-circular ends were reconstructed into L-shaped bracket members as defined in applicant's claims. The curved ends of Parent are designed to encircle crib bars, which is far afield from applicant's and even DeWitt's display apparatus. Accordingly, applicant believes that Claims 3 (currently amended), 4 (previously presented)-6; 7 (currently amended)-17, 18 (currently amended), 20 (currently amended) are not obvious in light of the prior art in any appropriate combination.

6. The allowance of Claims 4-6 and 10-17 is acknowledged.

7. The objection to Claims 19-20 is noted and is discussed hereinabove.

8. A telephone interview is respectfully requested prior to any final action on the merits to discuss any remaining issue or to consider possible changes to the language to distinguish applicant's invention over the art of record.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Arthur G. Yeager, Reg. No. 19,892

245-1 East Adams Street  
Jacksonville, FL 32202-3336  
Tel: (904) 355-9631  
Fax: (904) 355-9632

Date: Dec. 30, 2004